Remarks

The Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed June 23, 2008 indicated that the status identifiers for claims 3 and 5 should read "Withdrawn - Currently Amended" and for claims 6 and 7 should read "Withdrawn." The Applicant has modified the status identifiers as indicated to remedy the non-compliance, under the assumption that the Examiner has maintained the initial restriction requirement found in the September 5, 2007 Office action:

- I. FIG. 5 (including claims 1, 2, 4, and 8); and
- FIG. 6 (including claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 8).

Again, if this restriction requirement is maintained, the Applicant elects species ${\rm I.}$

However, the Applicant again respectfully traverses and requests a clarification of the current status of the restriction requirement in light of the Applicant's March 21, 2008 Response identifying the following three species:

- FIG. 5 (including claims 1, 2, 4, and 8);
- II. FIG. 6 (including claims 1, 2, 5-7, and 8); and
- III. FIG. 4 (including claims 1, 2, 3, and 8).

Once more, if this restriction is presented, Applicant elects species III.

The Applicant maintains that claims 1, 2, and 8 are generic to all of the species. The above elections are made without prejudice to the filing of a petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.144 to the Director to address the restriction requirement.

Ser. No. 10/577,534 Henry J. Knott Page 5 of 5

The above is believed to address the issues identified in the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment. No fees are believed due for the filing of this response; however, if any fees are due the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge them to Deposit Account No. 17-0055.

> Respectfully submitted, Henry J. Knott

Dated: June 30, 2008

By: __/Joel A. Austin/__ Joel A. Austin Reg. No. 59,712 Attorney for Applicant Quarles & Brady LLP 411 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 (414) 277-5617

QBACTIVE\6319068.1